funds are properly utilised for the purpose for which they are allotted. In addititn, directions have been given to the State Governments, DRDAs/ZPs and village panchayats in regard to the system of checks and balances to be followed under JRY to minimise the misappropriation/misutilisation of JRY funds. The account of DRDAs/ZPs/village panchayats are subjected to a regular audit. The release of second instalment of funds under JRY to any district is made only after the audit report for the year before the previous year is submitted to the Government of India.

Further, the State Governments, have been directed to draw up a programme of inspection of JRY works by senior officers, from the State/District/Block level who are required to inspect the works in the village/district at a frequency decided by the State Level Coordination Committee and take necessary action not only to improve the quality of work but also to punish those responsible for any irregularity. Directions have also been given to the Project Directors, DRDAs, during the annual workshops, to deal firmly with the case of misappropriation, and embezzlement of funds etc.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise

Identification of corrupt Gram Panchayats by collectors

1513. SHRI V. HANNUMANTHA RAO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some Collectors in different States have taken the lead in identifying corrupt Gram Panchayats which have not properly used the J.R.Y. funds;

(b) whether the Ministry has sought till date any report from any Collector or States on how many Panchayats or their Sarpanches have prima facie indulged in mis-appropriation of J.R.Y. funds in 1992-93;

(c) whether any effort has been made by the Ministry to adopt a more serious approach in monitoring the scheme;

(a) whether it is a fact that no officials of the Ministry has visited any district headquarters in Andhra Pradesh to study this problem; and

(e) what steps are being taken to issue strict guidelines to Collectors to unearth and punish erring and corrupt Panchayats and Sarpanches in the matter of J.R.Y. funds?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT) (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Regular monitoring of the scheme is insisted upon through Monthly, Quarterly and Annual Progress Reports. Further, before the release of second instalment of the JRY funds for a district the Government insists among other things on (i) Utilisation of at least 50 per cent of the available funds (ii) Utilisation certificate and (iii) Audit Report for the year before the previous year. Also the Ministry has designated an Area Officer for each State to review and inspect Rural Development works in that State.

(d) No, Sir. The Area Officer for Andhra Pradesh as well as other section officers from the Ministry of Rural Development have visited a few districts in Andhra Pradesh to review the progress of works under JRY, identify the problems in implementation and suggest steps for improvement in performance.

(e) JRY guidelines already provide that at the district level, the entire work relating to coordination, review, supervision and monitoring of the programme will be the responsibility of the District Collectors/District Rural Development Agencies/Zilla Parishads as decided by the State Government. In addition the Government of India have been issuing instructions to the

State Governments for taking strict action against corruption and misuse of funds under JRY. Instructions have been issued to the Collectors/Project Officers, DRDAs, during the annual workshops, to deal firmly with cases of misappropriation, embezzlement, corruption etc. With the enactment of Constitution (Seventy-third Amendment) Act, 1992, the establishment and duration of State Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) have been ensured. The PRIs will also have adequate powers to check any misuse of funds under JRY.

रोजगार योजना के अन्तर्गत रोजगार के अवसर

1514. **श्री वीरेन जे० शाह :**
श्री राम जेठमलानी :

क्या **प्रधान मंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार ने वर्ष 1983–84 में एक स्व-रोजगार योजना प्रारंभ की थी ;

(ख) क्या इस योजना के अन्तर्गत रोजगार के नये अवसर पैदा करने के लिये वार्षिक लक्ष्य निर्धारित किये जाते हैं ;

(ग) यदि हां, तो योजना के प्रारंभिक वर्ष 1983–84 में इस संबंध में क्या वार्षिक लक्ष्य रखा गया था ;

(घ) इस योजना के अन्तर्गत वर्ष 1992–93 के लिये क्या लक्ष्य निर्धारित किये गये थे और उपलब्धियां क्या रही थीं ; और

(ङ) वर्ष 1993–94 के लिये क्या लक्ष्य निर्धारित किये गये हैं और इस योजना के अन्तर्गत कुल कितने युवाओं को लाभ पहुंचने की संभावना है ?

उद्योग मंत्रालय (लघु उद्योग और कृषि तथा ग्रामीण उद्योग) में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम० अरुणाचलम्) : (क) और (ख) जी, हां ।

(ग) प्रारंभिक वर्ष 1983–84 के लिये वार्षिक लक्ष्य 2.50 लाख लाभार्थी निर्धारित किया गया था ।

(घ) वर्ष 1992–93 के लिये निर्धारित लक्ष्य 1.00 लाख लाभार्थी था । 70344 मामलों में ऋण स्वीकृत किये गये जो लक्ष्य का 70.34 प्रतिशत बनता है ।

(ङ) वर्ष 1993–94 के लिये लक्ष्य 1.26 लाख लाभार्थी निर्धारित किया गया है यदि उपलब्धि शत-प्रतिशत होती है तो इसका अर्थ होगा कि वर्ष 1993–94 के दौरान देश के 1.26 लाख युवाओं को लाभ प्राप्त होगा ।

ग्रामीण विकास के लिए योजनाओं और कार्यक्रमों का ब्यौरा

1515. **श्री वीरेन जे० शाह :**
डा० जिनेन्द्र कुमार जैन :

क्या **प्रधान मंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि देश में ग्रामीण विकास के लिये सरकार द्वारा अनेकों कार्यक्रम चलाये जा रहे हैं;

(ख) यदि हां, तो ऐसे कार्यक्रमों/योजनाओं का ब्यौरा क्या है ;

(ग) वर्ष 1992–93 के लिये वार्षिक बजट में इन योजनाओं/कार्यक्रमों में से प्रत्येक के कार्यान्वयन के लिये सरकार द्वारा अलग-अलग कितनी-कितनी धनराशि आवंटित की गई है ;

(घ) वित्तीय वर्ष के दौरान आवंटित राशि में से भिन्न-भिन्न योजनाओं पर वास्तव में अलग-अलग कितनी-कितनी राशि व्यय की गई है ;

(ङ) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार द्वारा आवंटित धनराशि को प्रशासनिक